

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| New 1900, 4 4 1 | 100 CR18 | . (C. 1915) | | ; | |
| - | | 19421Z(65) | · · · | | EXAMINER |
| - CAMPERING OF PERSON FOR WESTER | | | <u>s</u> c. | | ពី ខ្ |
| GARRETY AM | | | | ART UNIT | T PAPER NUMBER |
| LOGO I STREE WASHIMGTON) | | 15 | | 3736 | Xa |
| | | | | DATE MAILE | D: 05/14/99 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/928,453

Applicant(s)

Bales et al.

Examiner

Samuel Gilbert

Group Art Unit 3736



| XI Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 16, 1999 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| XI This action is FINAL . | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal main accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; | atters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a). | within the period for response will cause the | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| X Claim(s) 22-24, 27, 33-37, 39-44, 46-48, 51, 57-62, and 64-70 | is/are pending in the application. | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | |
| X Claim(s) 22-24, 27, 33-37, 39-44, 46-48, 51, 57-62, and 64-70 | is/are rejected. | | |
| Claim(s) | | | |
| ☐ Claims are s | | | |
| Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, | PTO-948. | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by t | | | |
| The proposed drawing correction, filed on is | _ | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priori | ity documents have been | | |
| received. | | | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | | | |
| received in this national stage application from the Internatio | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. 3 119(e). | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | |
| | | | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO | NAMAC BACES | | |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO | 111110 . 7050 | | |

Application/Control Number: 08/928,453

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 22-24, 27, 33-37, 39-44, 46-48, 51, 57-62, and 64-70 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-3 of U. S. Patent No. 5,133,727 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a biopsy device having jaws and teeth on the jaws.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/928,453

Art Unit: 3736

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. Claims 22-24, 27, 33-37, 39-44, 46-48, 51, 57-62, and 64-70 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-21 of U. S. Patent No. 5,507,296 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a biopsy device having jaws with cutting teeth.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/928,453

Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 6. should be directed to Samuel Gilbert whose telephone number is (703) 308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. The Group facsimile number is (703) 305-3590.

Samuel Gilbert

Primary Examiner

December 13, 1998 5/10/99

Art Unit 3736